Name of Site:

Purpose: This checklist is designed to detect land-related concerns and challenges on plot level and to come to a decision whether to proceed with the intervention in this specific site. If a site consists of more than one plot, the form has to be filled in for each one. A copy of any document mentioned in the form has to be attached to the form for the manager's review.

Key Informant section: Consult with a representative of the de facto local authority and at least 2 other long-term residents, including community representatives and immediate neighbors, to verify ownership claims to the specific plot of land.

Private owner(s)/de facto authorities section: In addition to the KI questions, a specific set of questions (indicated below) has to be asked directly to the owner(s) in case the plot concerned is privately owned, and to the de facto local authorities in charge if it is public land.

Red Flags are listed in the **Exclusion Criteria column** ranked in severity from 1 (lowest) to 3 (highest). Any 3 means that the intervention cannot move forward in this community. More than two '2'; if not resolved, also indicates that the intervention in this community has to be reconsidered/relocated.

Date: Name of staff member:

(Governorate/District/Sub-district/Village	or Community)
(Corternorater District out of the	

Key In	formants:	Information obtair	ned		Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria
1. Ow	nership	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
1.1.	Is the land privately or publicly owned?	Public Private	Public Private	Public Private		
1.2.	Is the land formally registered?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Disagreement whether land is public or private (2)
1.3.	Who is the supposed owner?	Full name of owner:	Full name of owner:	Full name of owner:		Yes No
1.4.	Are there any competing ownership claims to the land?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No] Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Owner is absent and has
1.5.	If the owner is absent, is any other actor authorized to take decisions regarding the land?	Auth. Yes: Auth. No: If Yes, who?	Auth. Yes: Auth. No: If Yes, who?	Auth. Yes: Auth. No: If Yes, who?		not designated authorized representative (3 – NO GO) Yes INo
	What proof exists of this?	 Power of attorney In writing Through video call Other (specify): 	 Power of attorney In writing Through video call Other (specify): 	 Power of attorney In writing Through video call Other (specify): 		



Information Needed	Information obtair	ned		Findings (written summary)	Exclusion (Criteria
1. Ownership	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3			
1.6. Are the boundaries of the land clear?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Land borders	are disputed (2)
2. Disputes	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3			
2.1. Has there currently a dispute over this land affecting land use?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Current dispu affecting use (Yes	te over the land <mark>3 – NO GO)</mark> No
2.2. Are dispute resolution mechanisms available to beneficiaries to resolve any disputes that may arise?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Dispute resolu not available fo Yes	ution mechanism or BNFs (2) □ No
2.3. If yes, what are they?	 □ Local council □ Sharia court □ Community leaders □ Camp Management □ Other (specify): 	 Local council Sharia court Community leaders Camp Management Other (specify): 	 Local council Sharia court Community leaders Camp Management Other (specify): 			
3. Safety and Security	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3			
3.1. Is the community prone to floods or other natural hazards?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):			d to or close to (3 – NO GO)
3.2. Is the community exposed to or close to military action, including contamination with ERWs?	Yes No Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):			No
4. Host / IDP relations	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3			
4.1. How does the community feel about the current or proposed use of the land?	 Positive Negative Neutral 	 Positive Negative Neutral 	 Positive Negative Neutral 			



III. 2/4

Information Needed	Information obtain	oformation obtained		Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria		
Additional Remarks	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3				
A.R. Are you sufficiently certain that there is enough legal certainty over the ownership of the land, and that with authorization of the owner the intervention should proceed?	☐ Yes ☐ No Justify your response:	☐ Yes ☐ No Justify your response:	☐ Yes ☐ No Justify your response:		2 or more KIs are not sufficiently certain (3 – NO GO) Yes INO		
Date and Source of information	IN.1		IN.2	IN.3			
Information key informant:							
Private owner(s) / De Facto Authority	(delete as appropriate)	Information obtai	ned	Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria		
1. Documentation:							
1.1. Is this land formally registered in the owner's name?		☐ Yes ☐ No	Other (specify):				
1.2. What documents are available to prove or user rights? (Please attach a copy of all av		☐ Tabou ☐ Court decision ☐ Sale contract	 Real Registry record statement Other (specify): 		Mortgage or debt on the land (3 – NO GO) Yes INo		
1.3. Private Land: Is there any mortgage, debt, equitable lien or loan on the land at the moment?		☐ None ☐ Mortgage ☐ Debt	☐ Equitable Lien ☐ Loan ☐ Other (specify):				
2. Disputes and Dispute Resolution							
2.1. Is there currently a dispute concerning th its land use?	is piece of land, affecting	Yes No	Other (specify):		Current disputes over land affecting its use (3 – NO GO) Yes No		



Private owner(s) / De Facto Authority (delete as appropriat	_{te)} Information obtained	Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria			
2. Disputes and Dispute Resolution						
2.2. If a dispute occurs, what mechanisms are in place to deal with them?						
3. Beneficiary Security of Tenure						
3.1. How long will the owner (private land) or local council (public land) allow IDPs to stay on the land without charg	ge? Months		Min. duration of 12 months is not provided (3 – NO GO) Yes INO			
3.2. Does the owner (private land) or local council (public lan- agree to sign a Land Use Agreement including committing to a minimum 12 month duration of stay for IDPs? ¹⁷			Owner does not agree to sign Land Use Agreement (3 – NO GO) Yes INO			
Date and Source of information						
Information Owner/De facto authorities representative:						
Based on this Due Diligence assessment, the following course of action is recommended:						
17 IDPs are in some case already paying rent and therefore it is important to ensure the coherence of any Land Use Agreement with other existing agreements with a landlord and/or de facto.	Signed (CCCM staff): Date/Place:	Checked by PM: Date/Place:				

