Annex II. HLP Due Diligence Checklist – Community-level

Purpose: This checklist is designed to help identify, as early as possible, potential land-related concerns and challenges in the community prior to any intervention. The questions should be asked to at least 3 community leaders such as the local council, mukhtar and community elders, assuming that the staff member feels safe to do so. If not, this may be in itself an indicator that land issues in this community are very sensitive and the intervention should not go forward. All answers need to be documented for accountability purposes, including details of who provided the information and the date it was provided.

Red Flags are listed in the **Exclusion Criteria column** ranked in severity from 1 (lowest) to 3 (highest). Any 3 means that the intervention cannot move forward in this community. More than two '2'; if not resolved, also indicates that the intervention in this community has to be reconsidered/relocated.

Location:	PS coordinates if possible)	Date:	_ Name/Position of	staff member:	
Information Needed	Information obtained			Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria
1. Ownership	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
1.1. Are land rights clear and respected in this community (i.e. everyone knows who owns what)?1.2. Are lands in this area formally documented or not?	Clear Not clear Other (specify):	Clear Not clear Other (specify):	☐ Clear ☐ Not clear ☐ Other (specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No		Land rights in the community are not clear (2)
documented or not!	□No □Other (specify):	□ No □ Other (specify):	$\Box \text{ No}$ $\Box \text{ Other (specify):}$		
2. Land Administration	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
2.1. Which law(s) is/are applicable in the area?					
2.2. Which entities control access to/use of land in the area?	 Local council Sharia court Community leaders Armed group Camp management Other (specify): 	Armed group	 Local council Sharia court Community leaders Armed group Camp management Other (specify): 		Land is controlled by armed group (3 – NO GO) Yes No
3. Documentation	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
3.1. Do most people in this community have documents proving land use/ownership?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		



Annex II. HLP Due Diligence Checklist – Community-level

Information Needed	Information obtained			Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria
3. Documentation	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
3.2. If so what kind of documents are most common?	 Tabou Court decision Sale contract Real Registry record statement Power of attorney Other (specify): 	 Tabou Court decision Sale contract Real Registry record statement Power of attorney Other (specify): 	 Tabou Court decision Sale contract Real Registry record statement Power of attorney Other (specify): 		
3.3. Are lands in this area formally documented or not?	 Oral contract Written contract – formally registered Written contract – unregistered Court verdict Power of attorney Other (specify): 	 Oral contract Written contract – formally registered Written contract – unregistered Court verdict Power of attorney Other (specify): 	 Oral contract Written contract – formally registered Written contract – unregistered Court verdict Power of attorney Other (specify): 		
4. Disputes and Dispute Resolution	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
4.1. Are disagreements over land ownership common?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		
4.2. How are disputes currently resolved in this community?	 Local council Local court Community leaders Family mediation Tribal mediation Other (specify): 	 Local council Local court Community leaders Family mediation Tribal mediation Other (specify): 	 Local council Local court Community leaders Family mediation Tribal mediation Other (specify): 		Land ownership disputes are common (2) Yes INo
4.3. What authorities are involved in resolving land disputes?	 Local council Sharia court Community leaders Camp Management Other (specify): 	 Local council Sharia court Community leaders Camp Management Other (specify): 	 □ Local council □ Sharia court □ Community leaders □ Camp Management □ Other (specify): 		





Annex II. HLP Due Diligence Checklist – Community-level

Information Needed	Information obtained			Findings (written summary)	Exclusion Criteria
4. Disputes and Dispute Resolution	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
4.4. Are decisions related to land usually respected?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Decisions related to land are not respected (3 – NO GO) Yes INo
4.5. Are dispute resolution mechanisms accessible for all, including IDPs, host, women?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Current dispute resolution mechanisms are not accessible for all (2) Yes No
5. Safety and Security	IN.1	IN.2	IN.3		
5.1. Is the community prone to floods or other natural hazards?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Community is prone to natural hazards (1) Yes INo
5.2. Is the community exposed to military action, including explosive remnants of war (ERWs)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Other (specify):		Community is exposed to or close to military action (3 – NO GO) Yes No
Date and Source of information	IN.1		IN.2	IN.3	
Information key informant:					
Additional remarks:					
	ommend implementing ac] as no major issue raised in the Due d xclusion criteria found during the asses	
Signed [Staff]: D	Date:	Checked by [Mana	ager]:	Date:	